

1 TONY WEST  
Assistant Attorney General  
2 JOHN R. TYLER  
Assistant Branch Director  
3 ERIC B. BECKENHAUER, CSBN 237526  
Trial Attorney

4 U.S. Department of Justice  
5 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
20 Massachusetts Ave. NW  
6 Washington, DC 20530  
Telephone: (202) 514-3338  
7 Facsimile: (202) 616-8470  
E-mail: eric.beckenhauer@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for Defendants  
9

10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 NATIONAL LAWYERS' GUILD SAN  
14 FRANCISCO CHAPTER, et al.,

No. C 08-5137 CRB

15 Plaintiffs,

**JOINT STATUS REPORT  
AND STIPULATION TO STAY  
PROCEEDINGS; AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER**

16 v.

17 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND  
18 SECURITY, et al.,

Defendants.  
19 \_\_\_\_\_/

20 WHEREAS, in an effort to narrow the issues before the Court,

21 1. On February 13, April 24, and June 24, 2009, the parties informed the Court that  
22 Defendants had agreed to reconsider the scope of their searches, reconsider the bases for their  
23 withholding of records previously produced, and/or perform secondary searches in response to  
24 Plaintiffs' February 2008 FOIA request (and, in the case of EOIR, in response to Plaintiffs' June  
25 2008 FOIA request); and

26 2. On April 27, 2009, the Court approved the parties' stipulation to stay proceedings  
27 for a period of 60 days to allow the above-mentioned secondary searches, and the processing of  
28

1 potentially responsive records, to continue. On June 25, 2009, the Court approved the parties'  
2 stipulation (a) to further stay proceedings through and including July 24, 2009; and (b) to submit a  
3 joint report advising the Court on the status of the secondary searches and processing, and/or a  
4 stipulation proposing a schedule to govern further proceedings, no later than July 24, 2009; and

5 3. Four Defendants — DHS, DHS-OIG, CIS, and CBP — have completed the  
6 processing of potentially responsive records, if any, identified from their secondary searches:

- 7 a. Defendant DHS performed a secondary search, and notified Plaintiffs that  
8 that search located no responsive records on March 19, 2009;
- 9 b. Defendant DHS-OIG performed a secondary search, and produced  
10 responsive, nonexempt records identified therefrom on March 19, 2009;
- 11 c. Defendant CIS performed a secondary search, and produced responsive,  
12 nonexempt records identified therefrom on April 24, 2009;
- 13 d. Defendant CBP performed a secondary search, and produced responsive,  
14 nonexempt records identified therefrom on June 24, 2009; and

15 4. The parties have conferred to discuss ways to move toward resolution of Plaintiffs'  
16 claims against Defendants DHS, DHS-OIG, CIS, and CBP. In an attempt to further narrow the  
17 issues before the Court, and perhaps to resolve Plaintiffs' claims against these Defendants without  
18 the Court's intervention, the parties have agreed that:

- 19 a. By September 4, 2009, Defendants DHS, DHS-OIG, CIS, and CBP will  
20 provide Plaintiffs with a letter that describes the scope of their respective  
21 searches for responsive records;
- 22 b. By September 25, 2009, Plaintiffs will respond with a letter that, with respect  
23 to each of these Defendants, either (i) stipulates that Plaintiffs do not contest  
24 the adequacy of the search; or (ii) states that Plaintiffs believe that the search  
25 was inadequate, and identifies any objection(s) with specificity; and
- 26 c. By October 16, 2009, the parties will confer in an effort to determine how to  
27 proceed with respect to Plaintiffs' claims challenging the adequacy of these  
28 Defendants' respective searches; and

1           5.       With respect to Defendants DHS-OIG and CBP, which have withheld certain records  
2 as exempt from disclosure, the parties have further agreed that:

- 3           a.       By August 7, 2009, Plaintiffs will provide Defendants with a letter that both  
4                   (i) identifies any record that Plaintiffs contend that Defendants DHS-OIG  
5                   or CBP improperly withheld (in whole or in part) under the FOIA and for  
6                   which Plaintiffs request a Vaughn index; and (ii) stipulates that Plaintiffs do  
7                   not contest the propriety of the withholdings in any other record that DHS-  
8                   OIG or CBP has produced. If there are multiple withholdings in any record  
9                   for which Plaintiffs request a Vaughn index, Plaintiffs' letter will identify  
10                  which withholdings they contest and which they do not. Plaintiffs expect to  
11                  challenge the withholdings in 8 documents that DHS-OIG has produced and  
12                  12 documents that CBP has produced, and the parties agree that, if those  
13                  numbers change materially, this portion of their agreement (i.e., Paragraph 5)  
14                  is void;
- 15          b.       By September 4, 2009, Defendants DHS-OIG and CBP will provide Plaintiffs  
16                  with a preliminary, partial Vaughn index explaining the bases for the  
17                  withholdings that Plaintiffs contest;
- 18          c.       By September 25, 2009, Plaintiffs will respond with a letter that, with respect  
19                  to each of the withholdings explained in the preliminary, partial Vaughn  
20                  index, either (i) stipulates that Plaintiffs do not contest the propriety of the  
21                  withholding; or (ii) states that Plaintiffs believe that the withholding was  
22                  improper, and identifies their objection(s) with specificity; and
- 23          d.       By October 16, 2009, the parties will confer to determine how to proceed  
24                  with Plaintiffs' claims challenging the propriety of these Defendants'  
25                  respective withholdings; and

26          6.       The two remaining Defendants — EOIR and ICE — have not yet completed the  
27 processing of potentially responsive records identified from their secondary searches.  
28

1 a. Defendant EOIR has performed a secondary search that has located  
2 approximately 2,700 pages of potentially responsive records. Of those, EOIR  
3 produced 343 pages of responsive, nonexempt records on May 24, 2009; 754  
4 pages on June 23, 2009; and 802 pages on July 23, 2009. Approximately 800  
5 pages of potentially responsive records remain to be processed. EOIR  
6 expects that processing and production of the remaining records will be  
7 completed by August 24, 2009. The parties have agreed to confer by October  
8 16, 2009, to discuss ways to move toward resolution of Plaintiffs' claims  
9 against EOIR; and

10 b. Defendant ICE has performed a secondary search that has located  
11 approximately 24,000 pages of potentially responsive records. Of those, ICE  
12 produced 321 pages of responsive, nonexempt records on June 2, 2009; 314  
13 pages on June 23, 2009; and 1,034 pages on July 23, 2009. Approximately  
14 22,330 pages of potentially responsive records remain to be processed. In  
15 view of the large volume of potentially responsive records located by ICE's  
16 secondary search, which would require considerable agency resources to  
17 process, the parties have conferred to establish a reasonable processing and  
18 production schedule. The parties have agreed that, given the resource  
19 constraints on ICE's FOIA office, a processing rate of approximately 1,000  
20 pages of potentially responsive records per month is reasonable, and that ICE  
21 will continue to make interim productions of responsive, nonexempt records  
22 on a monthly basis; and

23 WHEREAS it would minimize litigation costs and conserve judicial resources to stay  
24 further proceedings in this case to permit the above-mentioned negotiations and processing to  
25 continue, and to permit the parties to confer further as described above;

1 IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED by and between the parties, through  
2 undersigned counsel, subject to the approval of the Court, that:

- 3 1. Further proceedings in this case are stayed for a period of approximately 3 months  
4 through and including October 30, 2009; and
- 5 2. No later than October 30, 2009, the parties shall submit a joint report advising the  
6 Court on the status of the above-mentioned negotiations and processing and/or a  
7 stipulation proposing a schedule to govern further proceedings.

8 //

9 //

10 //

11 //

12 //

13 //

14 //

15 //

16 //

17 //

18 //

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 Dated: July 24, 2009

2 Respectfully submitted,

3 JENNIFER LEE KOH  
4 JAYASHRI SRIKANTIAH  
5 IMMIGRANTS' RIGHTS CLINIC  
6 STANFORD LAW SCHOOL  
7 559 Nathan Abbott Way  
8 Stanford, CA 94305  
9 Tel: (650) 724-2442  
10 Fax: (650) 723-4426

11 LINTON JOAQUIN  
12 KAREN TUMLIN  
13 NATIONAL IMMIGRATION  
14 LAW CENTER  
15 3435 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2850  
16 Los Angeles, CA 90010  
17 Tel: (213) 639-3900  
18 Fax: (213) 639-3911

TONY WEST  
Assistant Attorney General

JOHN R. TYLER  
Assistant Branch Director

/s/ Eric B. Beckenhauer  
ERIC B. BECKENHAUER, CSBN 237526  
Trial Attorney  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
20 Massachusetts Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20530  
Telephone: (202) 514-3338  
Facsimile: (202) 616-8470  
E-mail: eric.beckenhauer@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Defendants

/s/ Lisa A. Davis  
JARED KOPEL  
LISA A. DAVIS  
DOMINIQUE-CHANTALE ALEPIN  
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH &  
ROSATI  
Professional Corporation  
650 Page Mill Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050  
Tel: (650) 493-9300  
Fax: (650) 565-5100

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

19 **SIGNATURE ATTESTATION**

20 In accordance with General Order 45(X), I hereby attest that I have obtained Lisa A. Davis's  
21 concurrence in the filing of this document.

/s/ Eric B. Beckenhauer  
ERIC B. BECKENHAUER

24 **~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

25 Pursuant to stipulation, **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26  
27 Dated: **JUL 30 2009**

  
CHARLES R. BREYER  
United States District Judge